PREA AUDIT: AUDITOR'S SUMMARY REPORT

ADULT PRISONS & JAIL

Name of Facility: Ce		Central De	Central Detention Facility				
Physical Address:		1901 D Street SE; Washington, DC 20003					
Date Report Submitted:		December	9, 2	014			
Auditor Information:		Melinda D.	Alle	n			
Address:		P.O. Box 7	703;	Braselton,	GA 30017		
Email:		preaaudit@	@gm	ail.com			
Telephone Number:		706-449-0	003				
Date of Facility Visit:		July 13-15	, 201	14			
Facility Information							
Facility mailing address: (if different from above)							
Telephone number:		(202) 673-	7316	6			
The facility is:		☐ Military ☐ Private for profit		☐ County ☐ Municipal ☐ Private not for profit		☐ Federal ■ State	
Facility Type:		■ Jail □ Pris		☐ Prison			
Name of PREA Compliance Manager:	Pre	echelle Sha	nnoi	nnon Title: PREA Coordinator		Coordinator	
Email Address:	pre	echelle.sha	nnon@dc.gov Telephone Number: (202) 698-4878				
Agency Information							
Name of Agency:			District of Columbia Department of Corrections				
Governing Authority or Parent Agency: (if applicable)							
Physical Address:			2000 14th Street, NW, Seventh Floor Washington, DC 20009				
Mailing Address: (if different from above)							
Telephone Number:			(202) 673-7316				
Chief Executive Officer							
Name: Thomas N. Faust					Title: Director		
Thomas N. Faust			Title	: Director			
Thomas N. Faust Email Address: thomas.faust@c	dc.g	ov		Director	er: (202) 673	-7316	
Thomas N. Faust	dc.g	ov		Director	er: (202) 673	-7316	
Thomas N. Faust Email Address: thomas.faust@c			Tele	Director phone Numb	(202) 673		

Audit Findings:

Narrative:

The PREA Audit of the Central Detention Facility was conducted from July 13-15, 2014. The auditor wishes to extend its appreciation to Warden William Smith and his staff for the professionalism they demonstrated throughout the audit and for the kindness and hospitality they showed the auditor.

Following the Entrance Meeting, the auditor was given a very through tour of the facility. Following the tour, the auditor began the interviews and reviews of investigative files and other documents. At least one offender from each housing unit was interviewed. Those interviewed were selected, by the auditor, from a list of all the offenders in the facility. In addition, offenders who were identified as being in a designated group (i.e.., disabled, limited English speaking ability, gay, or who had reported a sexual abuse, etc.) were also interviewed. A total of seventeen inmates were interviewed.

A total of thirty-three staff, randomly selected, correctional officers and other identified specialized staff were interviewed, including the Director, Warden, PREA Coordinator, Investigator, first responders, health care providers, and mental health professionals. The Designated Auditor also conducted a telephone interview with the head of the Special Investigation Unit.

The auditor was impressed by how knowledgeable the correctional officers and other staff were about PREA, first response, evidence collection, and data collection. When the on-site audit was completed, the auditor conducted an exit meeting to review some issues needing further documentation and clarification. The auditor did give an overview of the audit and thanked the Central Detention Facility staff for their hard work and commitment to the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

Description of facility characteristics:

The Central Detention Facility is located in Southeast DC at 1901 D Street, SE. The facility was opened in 1976. In October 2007, the Executive Administration established a population capacity at the jail of 2,164. The majority of male inmates housed in the Central Detention Facility are awaiting adjudication of cases or are sentenced for misdemeanor offenses. As a result of the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, sentenced felons are transferred to the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

At the time of the audit, the facility held approximately 1,455 offenders. The facility is comprised of fifteen housing units in addition to the intake and release areas. The facility is in the process of constructing a new modern Intake Processing Center with an anticipated September 2014 opening.

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS:

Number of standards exceeded:	02
Number of standards met:	41
Number of standards not met:	00
Number of standards not applicable:	00

Standa	§115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
<u>X</u>	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Audi	itor comments, including corrective actions needed if does not meet standard
sexua detec DC P	DC Department of Corrections has a written policy mandating zero tolerance towards all forms of all abuse and sexual harassment. PP3350.2G outlines the agency's approach to preventing, sting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, other agency policies such as the tersonnel Regulations, supplement the main PREA policy. Ms. Prechelle Shannon is the PREA dinator. Ms. Shannon claims to have enough time to perform her PREA duties.
Standa	§115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	_Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) _Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) _Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) contract with CCA was amended in October 2014 to include language that addresses PREA sliance.

Standard	§115.13 Supervision and Monitoring
X Meets	eds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) s Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the ard for the relevant review period) Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
staffing plan. Careviewed annuareview. The faci and are done ra	Il Facility has developed a staffing plan and makes its best efforts to comply with the ameras and mirrors supplement the security of staff members' posts. The staffing plan is ally by the facility command staff but should include the PREA Coordinator in the annual lity documents all deviations to the plan. Unannounced rounds are documented in logs, andomly by Sergeants and Lieutenants. The agency has a policy that prohibits staff from aff members that supervisory staff rounds are occurring.
Coordinator was	he facility is reviewed annually. During the on site audit, it was determined that the PREA s not consulted during the annual staffing reviews. This was modified during the CAP and dinator is now included in the process. The agency provided proof documentation of hin the PREA Coordinator regarding the opening of the new intake area.
Standard	§115.14 Youthful Inmates
X Meets standDoes	eds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) s Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the ard for the relevant review period) Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) applicable

The facility intakes juvenile offenders, processes them into the system, then move them to another facility. The new Intake Processing Center (IPC) has sight and sound separation from the adult section of the facility.

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
The Central Facility does not conduct cross gender strip searches. Body cavity searches are only done by medically trained professionals per program statement 5009.2D The auditor observed female staff announce their presence when they enter the housing unit. Staff and inmates interviewed confirmed female staff are announced.
Program statement 5009.2D states, a visual, x-ray, manual, or instrument inspection of a body orifice, including an anal, vaginal, or alimentary (digestive tract) cavity may be conducted only by a qualified health care personnel in private upon written approval of the Warden or higher authority and only when there is reasonable belief that an inmate is concealing contraband in one or more of these areas of his/her body and it is an immediate threat to the health and safety of the inmate as determined by a medical doctor. Policy and procedures are implemented to enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothes without non-medical staff observing their genitalia or buttocks.
Standard \$115.16 Inmates with Disabilities and Inmates who are Limited English Proficient
Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)XMeets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Limits to Cross-Gender Viewing and Searches

§115.15

Standard

The facility takes appropriate steps to ensure inmates with disabilities and inmates with limited English proficiency have an opportunity to participate in and benefit from the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. PREA handouts and inmate handbooks in English and Spanish. The agency also has a contract for other language interpretations and utilizes the services as warranted. Contract with Language Access Program for phone interpreters. There are some staff who speak Spanish and both inmates and staff stated inmates are not used as interpreters for issues with sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Standard	§115.17	Hiring and Promotion Decisions
Meets Star standard f	ndard (substantial co for the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) compliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)
Amendment. This p	reviously established p	round checks on an annual basis based on the Lautenberg practice exceeds the standard requirement. The facility conducts ckground checks are logged.
institution; convicted community by force substantiated allegat to any institutional er agency provides a w	of or civilly or adminis or coercion or victim d tions of sexual abuse o mployer for whom whic raiver from the applical	ing affirmative duty to disclose any sexual abuse in prison or other stratively adjudicated for engaging in sexual activity in the did not consent. Washington DC DOC allows information on or sexual harassment involving a former employee to be furnished ich the employee has applied to work provided the requesting ant. Five year background checks were completed on all staff is of the checks were provided for the auditor to review.
Standard	§115.118	B Upgrades to Facilities and Technology
X Meets Star standard f	ndard (substantial co or the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) compliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)

The only potential problem noted in the newly constructed Inmate Processing Center is that the cameras appear to be directly over the toilets in many of the holding cells. This could led itself to a right to privacy claim if the cameras are not blocked or obscured over the genitalia of the offender. I reached out to the PREA Coordinator for clarification. The IT Project Manager provided feedback in response to this item. Cameras are advanced and allow for blockage/screening of images.

Standard	§115.21 Evidence Protocol and Forensic Medical Examinations
_XM st	xceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) leets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the tandard for the relevant review period) oes Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Metropolit Investigati and are pr	I procedures outline evidence protocols and requirements for forensic medical exams. The an Police Department conduct investigations that are criminal in nature, while the Office of ve Services conducts all Administrative Investigation. Hospitals with SANE/SAFE are identified to ovided at no costs to the inmate when requested. Victim advocates are available through an t with NVRDC (Network for Victim Recovery of DC) for advocacy services and crisis intervention
Standard	§115.22 Policies to Ensure Referrals of Allegations for Investigations
XM 	xceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) leets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the tandard for the relevant review period) oes Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
harassme	strative or criminal investigation is completed on all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual nt. Facility investigators initiate all Administrative investigations. MPD conduct the criminal on cases and advise agency investigators of the progress of the investigations.

 Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
DC DOC provides all employees training created by The Moss Group, which includes a video and the use of Turning Point Technology when available to enhance the learning and timely interaction with the students. Staff completed a pre-test and post test to evaluate their improvement. Staff also acknowledge in writing their understand PREA. The acknowledgment form lists all the required areas of the standard. Review of the lesson plan demonstrates all the required areas are covered. All staff have been trained. Interviews of staff demonstrated they understand the zero tolerance policy; the agency policy and procedures for prevention, reporting and response to a sexual assault or sexual harassment incident, and the dynamics of sexual abuse and harassment in a confinement setting.
Standard §115.32 Volunteer and Contractor Training
Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action) All contractors and volunteers who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. Interviews of one contractor and two volunteer demonstrated their knowledge of PREA and their responsibilities and agency zero tolerance policy. Reviewed contractor and volunteer training records, each have to sign a PREA Training Acknowledgment form.
Standard §115.33 Inmate Education
Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) XMeets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

Randomly selected inmate files were reviewed for compliance. Handbook was recently updated with a section on PREA. Inmates interviewed acknowledged having received the information regarding PREA at intake. It should also be noted that CDF actually receive the inmates AFTER they have been processed into the Central Cell Booking (CCB). The inmates receive the basic PREA notification and awareness of Zero-Tolerance while at the CCB. Once the inmates are committed to the CDF, they receive a more in-depth education on PREA. CCB's policies and electronic proof of notification to CDF regarding risk victimization were provided as verification of this practice. The electronic notification is embedded in the classifications window of the JACCS system. During intake at CDF, inmates are provided information through a PREA pamphlet and inmate rule book (both available in English and Spanish) that explains the agencies zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how to report such incidents.

standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

During facility orientation, inmates receive additional training which consists of a video and additional information which expands on the previous information provided in the pamphlet and handbook. Posters and inmate handbooks are provided to inmates or posted in the housing units in formats accessible to all inmates. During the tour and interviews a majority of the inmates acknowledged the information being provided upon arrival and orientation. The inmates are familiar with the agency zero tolerance policy.

Standard	9115.34	Specialized Training: Inves	stigations
X Meets Standard (su standard for the re	ibstantial com levant review	exceeds requirement of star pliance; complies in all mate period) es corrective action)	•
evidence collection, prosecut	orial collaborati	ning that included trauma victir on, evidence collection, forens s. The Investigative Session to	
Standard	§115.35 Sp	ecialized training: Medical a	nd mental health care
XMeets Standard (su standard for the re	ibstantial com levant review	exceeds requirement of star pliance; complies in all mate period) es corrective action)	
Medical staff do not conduct	forensic medica	al examinations. Training record	ds demonstrated four modules of

training was conducted. The auditors checked/verified random training records for compliance.

Standard	§115.41	Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness
X Meets Stand standard fo	dard (substantial co or the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) ompliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)
reassessment (July 20 must show continued forward. The agency have since initiated th	014) of all inmates in use of the new docur also realized in Augu e process. The audit	C PREA Intake Questionnaire (July 2014) and the 30-day order to come into compliance with this standard. The facility ament and completion of the reassessments of all inmates moving ust 2014 they were not conducting the 30-day reassessments and itor continued to review this process during the corrective action pliance as the standard has become embedded in the practice at
Standard	§115.42	Use of Screening Information
X Meets Stand standard fo	dard (substantial co or the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) ompliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)
assignment with the g high risks of being sex victimized and severa housed in different ho The agency has a Tra needed and via email where they should be	poal of keeping inmate xually abusive. To da I have been identified using units. Housing ans-gender Committe to discuss cases pric housed to include co	n to determine housing, bed, work, education, and program tes at high risks of being sexually victimized separate from those a late only one has been screened for being high risk to be sexually do for being high risk of being sexually abusive. The inmates are grand program assignments are done on a case by case basis. He as an Administrative Housing Review that meets as for to housing assignment. Inmates are consulted to determine consideration of the inmates gender expression. Once the inmate der expression, they are grated a hearing with the Trans-gender
Standard	§115.43	Protective Custody
X Meets Stand standard fo	dard (substantial co or the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) ompliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)

Agency policy states inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. Reviews of status as protective custody are completed after seven days and every 30-days after the initial seven day period.

Standard	§115.51	Inmate Reporting
X Meets Standard fo	dard (substantial con r the relevant review	y exceeds requirement of standard) mpliance; complies in all material ways with the w period) res corrective action)
The DOC provides mu Inspector General.	tiple mechanisms for	reporting to include access to a hot line to the Office of the
Standard	§115.52]	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies
X Meets Standard fo	dard (substantial con r the relevant review	y exceeds requirement of standard) mpliance; complies in all material ways with the w period) res corrective action)
		cently updated to comply with this standard. Inmates were famil mergency grievance if necessary to report a PREA incident.

X	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Medical	are able to use the hot line to call the Office of the Inspector General to report any PREA cases. The and Mental Health providers offer additional resources to inmates that have reported incidents of sexual harassment.
Stand	lard §115.54 Third-Party Reporting
<u>X</u>	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
statistica statistica	a link to the "PREA Enforcement at the DC DOC" on the front page of the DC DOC website. The I data, Annual Safety Report, is posted at the link provided. The report is detailed and includes I information and contract information should a third party desire to report and incident of PREA. The ads as follows:
Third par	ties may use the following reporting methods:
☐ Sendir	the Office of the Inspector General's confidential hot line at 202-724-8477 or 800-521-1639 ag a letter to the OIG at 717 14th Street, NW, 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20005 ag a letter to the DCDOC PREA Coordinator, 1901 D. Street, SE, Washington DC 20003
The audi Report.	tor would recommend placing this information directly on the web page rather than within in the safety
Stand	lard §115.61 Staff and Agency Reporting Duties
X	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Agency policy requires all staff to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment; and for staff not to reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than extent necessary. Staff and inmate interviews supported reporting and not discussing sexual abuse and harassment cases.

Standard	§115.62 Agency Protection Duties
X Meets Stan standard fo	randard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Indard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the for the relevant review period) If the definition of the relevant review period (requires corrective action)
have been several inm	take immediate action to protect inmates they learn is subject to substantial risks. There also identified as at substantial risks in the Central Detention Facility. The staff have a measures to prevent the abuse of inmates in their care and custody.
Standard	§115.63 Reporting to Other Confinement Facilities
X Meets Stan standard fo	randard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Indard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the or the relevant review period) Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

The IPC Manual, page 22, meets the requirements of the standard. In a recent case, the Warden was notified and he personally made notification to the other correctional facility head.

Standard	9115.64 Staff First Responder Duties
Meets Standard for	dard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) ord (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the the relevant review period) et Standard (requires corrective action)
the staff know what to c agency has provided st evidence in the event o	procedures and policy meet the standard, 3350.2G. Interviews with staff confirm the oupon learning that an offender was sexually abused. It was also discovered that the aff with a handy card that details the process and policy involved in the preservation of an incidence. Several staff members showed the auditor the laminated cards and em daily as reference material.
Standard	§115.65 Coordinated Response
XMeets Standard for	dard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) and (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the the relevant review period) at Standard (requires corrective action)
	and the DC DOC PREA Plan meet the requirements of the standard. Interviews with re familiar and knowledgeable about the plan and the coordinated duties and
Standard	§115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
Exceeds Star _XMeets Standa	dard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

While there is a Collective Bargaining Agreement signed in 2005, management retains exclusive rights regarding discipline for cause provided policy and procedures are followed appropriately.

Standard	§115.67	Agency protection against r	etaliation
X Meets Stand standard fo	dard (substantial co r the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of sta ompliance; complies in all ma ew period) uires corrective action)	
for monitoring retaliation indicates that for at les inmates, arrestees, or there are any changes	on. All other compone ast ninety (90) days, residents who report that may suggest po	ents of this standard were cover , DOC staff shall monitor the conted sexual abuse, sexual assaulossible retaliation by other staff,	linator as the individual responsible red by Policy 3350.2G. The policy aduct and treatment of any staff, lt, or sexual harassment to see if inmates, arrestees, or residents. hitoring indicates a continuing need.
Standard	§115.68	Post-Allegation Protective C	Custody
X Meets Stand standard fo	indard (substantiall dard (substantial co r the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of sta ompliance; complies in all ma	andard)

PP 3350.2G meets the requirements of the standard. A review of the records indicates that no inmates were segregated for more than 30 days.

Standard	§115.71 Crimi	nal and Administrative Agency Investigations
X Meets Standard standard for the	•	,
nature, the Investigator wil	II call upon the MPD to c the MPD investigator fo	n the facility. If an allegation appears to be criminal in conduct the investigation. The OIS will provide technical r criminal investigations. All OIS Investigators have
One substantiated case woobjectively, including third-		tigation was reviewed promptly, thoroughly, and eports.
Standard	§115.72 Evider	ntiary Standard for Administrative Investigations
XMeets Standard standard for the Does Not Meet S	(substantial complian e relevant review perio Standard (requires cor	
	se or sexual harassment	are substantiated. This is reinforced in the PREA
Standard	§115.73 Repo	rting to Inmate
X Meets Standard standard for the	(substantial complian e relevant review perio	•
	Standard (requires cor	rective action) gation completed indicated that offenders were informed

A review of PP 3350.2G. and review of the investigation completed indicated that offenders were informed of the outcome of the investigations.

Standard	§115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
Exceeds Stan X Meets Standa standard for	dard (substantiall rd (substantial co the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) ompliance; complies in all material ways with the
		he standard. A review of one investigation showed the employee he matter was not referred for prosecution as it did not rise to the
Standard	§115.77 (Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
X Meets Standa standard for	rd (substantial co the relevant revie	lly exceeds requirement of standard) ompliance; complies in all material ways with the ew period) uires corrective action)
from contact with inma	tes, arrestees, and	tor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited it residents and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies an ne activity was clearly not criminal and within the scope of their
Standard	§115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement ofMeets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in allbrandard for the relevant review period)Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)	,
DOC procedures confirm compliance and review of investigations should inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse during the period reviewed.	owed there were no documented
Standard §115.81 Medical and mental health so	creenings; history of sexual abuse
Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)	•
Policy and interviews of staff indicate the screening process is utilized previously been victimized and to offer follow-up meetings with medica within 14-days in order to help the inmate.	
Standard §115.82 Access to emergency medica	l and mental health services
Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)	<u> </u>
Review of operating procedures and interviews with staff and offender medical and mental health staff play an active role in the day-to-day or	

Standa	rd §115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
X	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
s provide	of operating procedures and interviews with staff and inmates confirm compliance. All treatment ed without expense to the inmate victim. This was confirmed by staff and inmates during as held on site.
Standa	rd §115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews
X	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
though the May or Judditional modernts which the days of the the days of the d	reviews are conducted but this auditor questions the timeliness of the review. It appears as ne investigation was completed in December of 2013 and the review was not conducted until une of 2014. There is documentation present that appears to suggest there was an appeal or all review of the facts prior to passing final judgment in the incident. The review of specific ameets the requirements of the standard. However, the form does not indicate the date on a investigation was concluded making it difficult to determine if the review occurred "within 30 he conclusion of the investigation." It is recommended that this information be added to the Review Team form.
Standa	rd §115.87 <u>Data Collection</u>
X	Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

	standard for the relevant review period) _Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
	gency collects accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its using a standardized instrument.
Standa	§115.88 Data Review for Corrective Action
prever An anr	gency reviews the data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse tion, detection, and response policies; and to identify problem areas and take corrective action hual report with comparisons from previous years and corrective actions is published, signed by ector, and posted on the DC DOC website.
Standa	ard §115.89 <u>Data Storage, Publication and Destruction</u>
	_Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
_X	_Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	_Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Data is	properly stored, maintained and secured. Access to data is tightly controlled.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION:

The auditor certifies that the contents of the report are accurate to the best of his/her knowledge and no conflict of interest exists with respect to his or her ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.

Melinda D. Allen Date: 12/09/2014

Auditor Signature

Melinda D. Allen, Certified PREA Auditor Melinda Allen & Associates, LLC. Braselton, GA 30517